MAR Serial No.		
502202	: 09/219,071	
For	: SYSTEM FOR SCHEDULING AND MONI	TORING COMPUTER PROC
Filed	: December 22, 1998	
Group Art Unit	: 2143	RECEIVI
Commissioner fo	or Patents	APR 15 20
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA	22313-1450	Technology Cente
Sir:		
Applicant	t hereby appeals to the Board of Appeal	s from the decision
October 7, 2003	3 of the Primary Examiner finally rejec	ting claims <u>1-50</u> .
The item	(s) checked below are appropriate:	
	(s) checked below are appropriate: extension of time to respond to the	final rejection is
1. <u>X</u> An		final rejection is
1. <u>X</u> An	extension of time to respond to the	
1. <u>X</u> An fill 2. At	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months.	
1. X An fill 2. At	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411.	as been filed, as pr
1. X An fill 2. A t in	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411.	
1. X An fill 2. A t in	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. Timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411. EXAMPLE 2330	as been filed, as pr Small Entity Fee
1. X An fill 2. At in	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411. EXAMPLE 2330	as been filed, as pr Small Entity Fee appeal)
1. X An fill 2. At in	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411. EXAMPLE 25 Enclosed Not required (Fee paid in prior	as been filed, as pr Small Entity Fee appeal) 3-3125 (i) appeal fee
1. X An fill 2. At in	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411. EXAMPLE 1411 SeeX Large Entity Fee \$330X Enclosed Not required (Fee paid in priorX Charge to Deposit Account No. 0	as been filed, as promoted as
1. X An fil 2. At in 3. X Fe	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411. EXAMPLE IN THE STATE OF THE	as been filed, as promoted as
1. X An fil 2. At in 3. X Fe	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. Timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The	as been filed, as promoted as
1.	extension of time to respond to the led on April 7, 2004 for three months. Timely response to the final rejection has 841 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The State of the final rejection has 941 O.G. 1411. The	as been filed, as promoted as promoted as promoted as promoted appeal) 3-3125 (i) appeal features. (One additional th.)

deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington,

Richard F Jaworski Reg. No. 33,515

Form PTO-989 (3-68)

U.S. Department of Commerce

Patent Office

THIS FORM MAY BE USED FOR REPRODUCTION PURPOSES

04/14/2004 RMEBRAHT 00000098 09219071

02 FC:1401

330.00 OP